

Daily Journal

MAY 19, 2021

Dhillon • Diane M. Doolittle • Daralyn Durie • Sierra Elizabeth • Lisa Ells • Theane Evangelis • Deborah
 Patricia • Glase • Lisa Glasser • Demetria • Granger • Tanya Greene • Gay Guethyrai Grunfeld
 Maura Z. Hanna • Todd Drummond Hansen • Geri • Hanson • Mira Kashmal • Maya M. Harman • Lori
 Hamm • Anne Healey • Elizabeth Herzig • Kate Hillier • Shawn Kelley • Arba • Abner • Metla
 Karishankaya • Yvonne Kornfeld • Benjamin Kistritz • Janice • Kim • Emily Lam • Brenda
 Dominique • Leipig • Janet I. Levin • Misha Star • Alison • Beu • Jan Little • Sarah London
 • Susan Mac Cormac • Deborah Mallgrave • Heidi Mayon • Rachael Meny • Christine M. Morgan • Marisol
 Corral Mork • Ann Marie Mortimer • Nanci E. Nishimura • Crystal N. Nix • Maria O'Neil • Liuba K. Kbler
 Oswell • Lisa Perrochet • Stacy D. Phillips • Sarah Piepmeier • • • • •
 Ross • Kelli L.Sager • Neema Sahni • Saina Shamilov • Dena C. Sharp • Luann Simmons • Kelli Scheid Smith

TOP WOMEN LAWYERS 2021

Amanda Bonn



Bonn’s wide-ranging practice at Susman Godfrey places her on both the plaintiff and defense sides in some of the nation’s most-watched cases.

“Our founder Steve Susman, who passed away last year, always said, ‘Focus on what matters.’ In a lot of ways, litigating on both sides of the docket helps keep that perspective,” Bonn said.

She is co-lead counsel for a potential class accusing Google of secretly gathering users’ internet browsing information even when the user is in “incognito” mode in violation of the federal Wiretap Act and various California state laws. *Brown v. Google LLC*, 5:20-cv-03664 (N.D. Cal., filed June 2, 2020).

In the most recent development, U.S. District Judge Lucy H. Koh of San Jose denied Google’s motion to dismiss a March 12 order that bore significance beyond the facts of the case itself because Koh held that the “consent” exception to the Wiretap Act does not apply in Google’s favor.

Koh explained that the consent defense is unavailable because Google cannot show it notified the plaintiffs it would collect data while the plaintiffs

were in private browsing mode, and because Google allegedly intercepted the plaintiffs’ communications to violate other laws including California’s Comprehensive Computer Data Access and Fraud Act.

Separately, Bonn served as Susman Godfrey’s lead California trial counsel for a whistleblower and other plaintiffs—including Los Angeles and Riverside counties, the UC system and the Cal State system—in a False Claims Act suit against the four largest wireless carriers over fraudulent overbilling allegations. *State of California ex rel OnTheGo Wireless LLC et al. v. Cellco Partnership dba Verizon Wireless et al.*, 34-2012-00127517 (Sacramento Co. Sup. Ct., filed July 5, 2012).

Settlements finalized in September 2020 were valued at \$175 million, the largest of their kind in California; the money has been paid to hundreds of California and Nevada government entities.

“I love going to trial, but at the end of the day the most important thing is achieving a positive outcome. Again, as Mr. Susman said, we focus on what matters,” she said.

— John Roemer

SUSMAN GODFREY LLP
 LOS ANGELES

Practice Type:
 Litigation