<u>INDEX</u>			
JUNE 14, 2018; P.M. SESSION			
INDEX OF WITNESSES			
WITNES	SSES:		PAGI
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
JAMES	BROWN		
	RECT EXAMINATION BY MS. OSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. B		65 11
-	N LANEY		
DIR	ECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)	BY MS. CR	OWTHER 141
	ЕХНІВІ	TS	
			RECEIVED
JOINT	EXHIBIT	FOR I.D.	IN EVD.
219		(PRE)	98
358	REPORT 6 E-MAIL CHAIN	(PRE)	133
	E-MAIL CHAIN	(PRE)	
383	E-MAIL RE DRAFT	(PRE)	145
448	E-MAIL RE DRAFT	(PRE)	171
476	INPUTS FOR S.O.W.S.	(PRE)	102
477	E-MAIL CHAIN AND ATTACHMENT TO DOZIER	(1111)	102
	DEPO		
610	ADVANCED X.C.I.S. FOR	(PRE)	175
737	DEEP FLAW DETECTION FINAL REPORT FOR	(PRE)	108
757	I.R.A.D. PROJECT	(1111)	100
	AG1100.0054		
884	EXPERT REPORT OF	(PRE)	77
	JAMES BROWN, JR.		

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION.
2	SO YOU MAY PROCEED, MS. BONN.
3	MS. BONN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
4	
5	CROSS-EXAMINATION
6	BY MS. BONN:
7	Q GOOD AFTERNOON, MR. BROWN.
8	A GOOD AFTERNOON. HOW ARE YOU?
9	Q I'M GOOD. HOW ABOUT YOURSELF?
10	A GOOD. THANK YOU.
11	Q THANK YOU. MY NAME IS AMANDA BONN, AND I'LL
12	BE ASKING YOU SOME QUESTIONS THIS AFTERNOON ON BEHALF
13	OF WYLE LABS.
14	NOW, AS YOU MENTIONED ON YOUR DIRECT
15	EXAMINATION, YOU WERE HIRED BY POSITRON'S COUNSEL IN
16	THIS CASE; IS THAT CORRECT?
17	A THAT'S CORRECT.
18	Q AND WHEN YOU WERE HIRED BY POSITRON'S
19	COUNSEL, THEY GAVE YOU A SPECIFIC ASSIGNMENT OF WHAT
20	THEY WANTED YOU TO DO; CORRECT?
21	A THAT'S CORRECT.
22	Q THE SPECIFIC ASSIGNMENT THAT POSITRON'S
23	COUNSEL GAVE YOU WAS TO EVALUATE TWO SPECIFIC
24	QUESTIONS; CORRECT?
25	A YOU'D HAVE TO TELL ME WHAT THOSE QUESTIONS
26	WERE BEFORE I CAN SAY THAT; OKAY?
27	Q ABSOLUTELY.
28	DIDN'T POSITRON'S COUNSEL ASK YOU TO

1	EVALUATE WHETHER THE N.D.E. MARKET HAS UTILIZED THE
2	TECHNOLOGY OF COMBINING PHASE CONTRAST X RAY WITH
3	TOMOSYNTHESIS TO DETECT ALUMINUM CORROSION?
4	A THAT'S CORRECT.
5	Q THAT WAS THE FIRST QUESTION THAT POSITRON'S
6	COUNSEL ASKED YOU TO CONSIDER; CORRECT?
7	A THAT'S CORRECT.
8	Q AND THE N.D.E. MARKET, THAT STANDS FOR
9	NONDESTRUCTIVE EVALUATION MARKET; IS THAT CORRECT?
10	A YES. ALSO GOES BY N.D.T., NONDESTRUCTIVE
11	TESTING.
12	Q NOW, THE SECOND QUESTION THAT YOU WERE ASKED
13	BY POSITRON'S COUNSEL TO CONSIDER WAS TO EVALUATE THE
14	TECHNICAL FEASIBILITY OF, QUOTE, "THE X-RAY CORROSION
15	INSPECTION SYSTEM (X.C.I.S.) THAT WAS DEVELOPED BY
16	POSITRON"; IS THAT CORRECT?
17	A THAT'S CORRECT.
18	Q AND, SO, POSITRON'S COUNSEL TOLD YOU TO
19	ASSUME THAT THE X-RAY CORROSION INSPECTION SYSTEM WAS
20	DEVELOPED BY POSITRON; IS THAT CORRECT?
21	A WELL, THAT'S WHAT THEY TOLD ME IN THE
22	STATEMENT, YES.
23	Q AND YOU DIDN'T ACTUALLY COME UP WITH YOUR
24	OWN FORMULATION OF THE QUESTIONS TO CONSIDER IN THIS
25	CASE. YOU ADDRESSED THE QUESTIONS THAT POSITRON'S
26	COUNSEL SPECIFICALLY ASSIGNED TO YOU; CORRECT?
27	A YES.
28	Q BUT, SIR, AS YOU BEGAN REVIEWING EVIDENCE IN

1 THIS CASE -- YOU MENTIONED REVIEWING DEPOSITIONS AND 2 DOCUMENTS -- YOU ACTUALLY CAME TO THE CONCLUSION THAT 3 VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE KEY 4 TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS UNDERLYING THE X.C.I.S. 5 SYSTEM; CORRECT? 6 A I'D HAVE TO ELABORATE ON THAT A LITTLE BIT 7 BECAUSE I CAN'T ANSWER THAT OUESTION SPECIFICALLY. 8 YES, VANDERBILT DID DO THE WORK, BUT 9 POSITRON WENT TO VANDERBILT AND ENTERED A CONTRACT 10 WITH THEM, WHICH I'VE DONE, BEFORE WORKING WITH A 11 UNIVERSITY. IT'S NOT UNUSUAL. 12 POSITRON WENT TO VANDERBILT BECAUSE 1.3 VANDERBILT KNEW -- I MEAN, POSITRON KNEW THAT 14 VANDERBILT HAD THAT TECHNOLOGY; THAT THEY COULD HELP 15 THEM WITH THEIR PROCESS. 16 NOW, POSITRON ALREADY KNEW ABOUT PHASE CONTRAST TECHNOLOGY THE PREVIOUS TIME. THEY HAD 17 18 HIRED A CONSULTANT EARLIER BECAUSE THEY WERE HAVING PROBLEMS WITH THE LASER COMPTON SCATTERING PROCESS, 19 AND THEY DIDN'T HAVE THE -- THE RESULTS FROM THAT 20 21 PROCESS THEY WANTED, SO THEY HIRED A CONSULTANT THAT 22 DID SOME RESEARCH. THAT RESEARCH IDENTIFIED THE FACT 23 THAT THEY COULD GO TO VANDERBILT. 24 SO POSITRON DIDN'T GO TO VANDERBILT WITH NO 25 KNOWLEDGE OF THE PROCESS. THEY WENT TO VANDERBILT 26 KNOWING THAT THEY COULD USE THEIR TECHNOLOGY OR THEIR 2.7 ABILITY TO DO THAT. THEY BASICALLY HIRED VANDERBILT

28

TO DO THAT WORK.

1 SO, IN A SENSE THEY USED VANDERBILT, YES, 2 THEY DID, AND VANDERBILT DID THE WORK FOR THEM. BUT 3 IT WASN'T THE CASE WHERE THEY DIDN'T PARTICIPATE. 4 TIM WILSON PARTICIPATED IN THAT WORK. EVEN 5 THOUGH HE DIDN'T DO THE TESTING, HE DID PARTICIPATE. 6 DO YOU REMEMBER WHAT MY QUESTION WAS, SIR? 7 A YES. 8 Q WHAT WAS THE QUESTION? 9 Α DID VANDERBILT DO ALL THE WORK. 10 AND, IN FACT, SIR, WHEN YOU WERE DEVELOPING YOUR REPORT IN THIS CASE, YOU ADDRESSED SPECIFIC 11 12 ASPECTS OF THE X.C.I.S. TECHNOLOGY THAT YOU BELIEVED 1.3 WERE IMPORTANT TO ADDRESS; CORRECT? 14 Α YES. 15 NOW, ONE OF THE ASPECTS OF X.C.I.S. 16 TECHNOLOGY THAT YOU BELIEVED WAS IMPORTANT TO ADDRESS 17 WAS THE IDEA TO COMBINE PHASE CONTRAST X RAY WITH 18 TOMOSYNTHESIS UNDERLYING THE X.C.I.S. SYSTEM; 19 CORRECT? 20 A YES. 21 AND THE DECISION TO COMBINE PHASE CONTRAST X 22 RAY WITH TOMOSYNTHESIS WAS MADE BY DR. DONNELLY AT 23 VANDERBILT; CORRECT? 24 AMANDA, IF I READ THAT, I DON'T REMEMBER 25 THAT. 26 MS. BONN: YOUR HONOR, I'D LIKE TO PUBLISH 2.7 TO THE JURY A PORTION OF MR. BROWN'S DEPOSITION. 28 IT'S PAGE 46, LINES 11 THROUGH 18.

1	THE COURT: LET'S SEE. LET ME GET THERE
2	FIRST.
3	46/11 THROUGH 18. LET ME JUST FIND THAT.
4	IS THIS IN THIS BOOK HERE (INDICATING)?
5	MS. BONN: NO. IT'S A SEPARATE TRANSCRIPT
6	THAT I BELIEVE
7	THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO THOSE ARE
8	EXHIBITS. I SEE. OKAY. HOLD ON.
9	MS. BONN: AND, YOUR HONOR, WE'RE AT PAGE
10	46, LINES 11 THROUGH 18.
11	THE COURT: OKAY. ANY OBJECTION TO THAT
12	BEING SHOWN?
13	MS. JOHNSON: NO, YOUR HONOR.
14	THE COURT: OKAY. YOU MAY PUBLISH THAT.
15	Q BY MS. BONN: MR. DONNELLY, I'M SHOWING THE
16	JURY A PORTION OF THE TRANSCRIPT FROM YOUR DEPOSITION
17	IN THIS CASE.
18	A I DON'T HAVE THAT IN THIS BOOK.
19	Q YES, SIR.
20	THE COURT: I'VE GOT IT HERE JUST IN CASE
21	YOU NEED TO LOOK AT IT.
22	Q BY MS. BONN: AND, SIR, I'M DIRECTING YOUR
23	ATTENTION TO PAGE 46, LINES 11 THROUGH 18. AND I
24	JUST ASK THAT YOU PLEASE READ ALONG SILENTLY WITH ME
25	AS I READ ALOUD TO THE JURY. (AS READ):
26	QUESTION. "THE DETERMINATION TO
27	PERFORM PHASE CONTRAST X RAY ON
28	ALUMINUM WAS MADE BY ED DONNELLY OF

1	VANDERBILT; CORRECT?"
2	ANSWER: "YES."
3	QUESTION: "OKAY. THE
4	DETERMINATION TO COMBINE PHASE
5	CONTRAST X RAY WITH TOMOSYNTHESIS
6	WAS MADE BY ED DONNELLY OF
7	VANDERBILT; CORRECT?"
8	ANSWER: "YES."
9	SIR, I READ THAT CORRECTLY; RIGHT?
10	A YES.
11	Q AND WHEN YOU TESTIFIED AT YOUR DEPOSITION,
12	YOU DID SO UNDER OATH; CORRECT?
13	A YES.
14	Q AND, SO, YOU WERE BEING CAREFUL TO TELL THE
15	TRUTH; RIGHT?
16	A YES.
17	Q NOW, IN ADDITION TO MAKING THE DETERMINATION
18	TO COMBINE PHASE CONTRAST X RAY WITH TOMOSYNTHESIS,
19	VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY ALSO DETERMINED THE OPTIMAL
20	PARAMETERS THAT WOULD BE NEEDED FOR THE X.C.I.S.
21	SYSTEM; CORRECT?
22	A YES.
23	Q POSITRON DIDN'T DO THAT; CORRECT?
24	MS. JOHNSON: OBJECTION. LACKS FOUNDATION,
25	YOUR HONOR.
26	THE COURT: WELL, HE CAN ANSWER IT IF HE
27	KNOWS.
28	DO YOU KNOW WHO DETERMINED THE OPTIMAL

1	PARAMETERS?		
2	THE WITNESS: CAN YOU		
3	Q BY MS. BONN: SIR, YOU MADE THE CONCLUSION		
4	THAT THE DETERMINATION OF THE OPTIMAL PARAMETERS		
5	NEEDED FOR THE X.C.I.S. SYSTEM WAS DEVELOPED BY		
6	VANDERBILT; CORRECT?		
7	MS. JOHNSON: OBJECTION. LACKS FOUNDATION.		
8	THE COURT: WELL, LET'S SEE WHAT HE SAYS.		
9	HE CAN ANSWER THE QUESTION.		
LO	DID YOU DETERMINE THAT?		
L1	THE WITNESS: I DID, BUT IT WASN'T JUST		
L2	VANDERBILT'S WORK. POSITRON WAS WORKING WITH THEM.		
L3	POSITRON WAS HAD HIRED VANDERBILT.		
L 4	SO WHATEVER VANDERBILT DID, POSITRON WAS		
L5	ASKING FOR THAT.		
L 6	Q BY MS. BONN: WELL, SIR, YOU MENTIONED		
L7	SOMETHING EARLIER.		
L 8	YOU MENTIONED THAT POSITRON HAD A CONTRACT		
L 9	WITH VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY; CORRECT?		
20	A THAT'S CORRECT.		
21	Q BUT BEFORE YOUR DEPOSITION IN THIS CASE, YOU		
22	HAD NEVER EVEN REVIEWED THAT CONTRACT; CORRECT?		
23	A THAT'S CORRECT.		
24	Q AND, SO, YOU HAVE NO BASIS WHATSOEVER TO		
25	OPINE IN THIS CASE THAT SIMPLY BECAUSE POSITRON HIRED		
26	VANDERBILT, THAT MEANS POSITRON CAN CLAIM CREDIT FOR		
27	VANDERBILT'S TECHNICAL DEVELOPMENT; ISN'T THAT RIGHT?		
2.8	A CAN T ELABORATE ON MY DECISION FOR THAT		

1	STATEMENT?		
2	Q WELL, SIR, CAN YOU ANSWER THE QUESTION WITH		
3	A YES OR NO?		
4	A YES.		
5	Q OKAY. SO BECAUSE YOU'VE NEVER ACTUALLY		
6	REVIEWED THE CONTRACT BETWEEN POSITRON AND VANDERBILT		
7	UNIVERSITY, YOU HAVE NO BASIS ON WHICH TO OPINE IN		
8	FRONT OF THIS JURY THAT POSITRON CAN CLAIM CREDIT FOR		
9	VANDERBILT'S TECHNOLOGICAL DEVELOPMENTS SIMPLY		
10	BECAUSE THEY HIRED VANDERBILT; RIGHT?		
11	A THAT'S NOT TRUE. THEY HIRED VANDERBILT.		
12	THEY WERE PRIVY TO THAT INFORMATION. IT'S THEIR		
13	INFORMATION ALONG WITH VANDERBILT.		
14	Q AND, SIR		
15	A THEY BOUGHT THAT INFORMATION FROM		
16	VANDERBILT.		
17	Q AND, SIR, YOU'RE SAYING THAT TO THIS JURY		
18	WITHOUT EVER HAVING SEEN THE CONTRACT BETWEEN		
19	POSITRON AND VANDERBILT; IS THAT CORRECT?		
20	A I'M COMING FROM EXPERIENCE. YES, I DIDN'T		
21	SEE THAT CONTRACT, BUT I HAVE CONDUCTED RESEARCH WITH		
22	UNIVERSITIES IN THE PAST.		
23	AND UNIVERSITIES ALWAYS HAVE IN THEIR		
24	CONTRACTS THAT THAT INFORMATION CAN BE USED BY THE		
25	UNIVERSITY FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES, FOR A DOCTOR'S		
26	THESIS AND OTHER THINGS WITHIN THAT UNIVERSITY.		
27	SO WHILE THEY RETAIN OWNERSHIP OF THAT		
28	TECHNOLOGY, THEY PROVIDE THAT TECHNOLOGY TO THE		

PERSON THAT'S HIRED THEM AS WELL. THAT'S THE BASIS 1 2 I'M COMING ON. 3 WHEN YOU DO A CONTRACT LIKE THAT WITH A 4 UNIVERSITY, THERE'S A RECIPROCAL, IF YOU WOULD, THAT 5 THEY HIRED THEM, SO THEY'RE PRIVY TO THAT INFORMATION. THEY BOUGHT IT. 6 7 O AND, SIR, I WANT TO BE ABSOLUTELY CLEAR, BECAUSE WE HEARD A LOT ABOUT YOUR EXPERIENCE. 8 9 BUT JUST TO BE CLEAR: YOU HAVE NEVER 10 ACTUALLY REVIEWED THE ACTUAL WRITTEN CONTRACT BETWEEN 11 POSITRON AND VANDERBILT IN THIS CASE; CORRECT? 12 A NO. 13 O NO, YOU HAVE NOT READ IT? 14 Α NO, I HAVE NOT. 15 NOW, YOU ALSO DETERMINED, DIDN'T YOU --16 EXCUSE ME. ONE OF THE OTHER THINGS THAT YOU OPINED ON 17 18 IN PREPARING YOUR REPORT IN THIS CASE WAS THAT THERE 19 WAS A DESIGN OF A SPECIFICATION FOR A PROTOTYPE 20 X.C.I.S. UNIT; CORRECT? 21 A YES. 22 BUT, IN FACT, IN YOUR REVIEW OF POSITRON'S 23 DOCUMENTATION, YOU DIDN'T SEE ANY ENGINEERING 24 SPECIFICATIONS FOR A PROTOTYPE THAT POSITRON 25 DEVELOPED, DID YOU? 26 A ONLY SKETCHES. AND I DON'T KNOW IF POSITRON 2.7 DEVELOPED THOSE OR NOT. ALL I SAW WERE SKETCHES. 28 O NOW, WE HEARD A LITTLE BIT TODAY ABOUT THE

```
1
      PHASE I AND PHASE II PROGRESS REPORTS.
 2
              DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?
 3
         Α
             YES.
 4
             AND YOU ACTUALLY REVIEWED THOSE REPORTS WHEN
 5
      YOU WERE PREPARING YOUR EXPERT REPORT IN THIS CASE;
 6
      TRUE?
 7
         A YES.
 8
         Q AND YOU CITED THEM IN YOUR REPORT; RIGHT?
 9
         Α
             YES.
10
              BUT YOU ADMIT THAT THE TECHNICAL INFORMATION
11
      RELATED TO PHASE CONTRAST AND TOMOSYNTHESIS THAT'S
12
      INCLUDED IN THE PHASE II REPORTS WAS AUTHORED BY
1.3
     VANDERBILT; CORRECT?
14
         A
             YES.
15
             AND YOU REVIEWED THE DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS
16
      OF SOMEONE NAMED MR. HAMMONDS AT VANDERBILT
17
     UNIVERSITY; CORRECT?
18
         A YES.
19
         O AND MR. HAMMONDS WAS A RESEARCHER WHO WAS
20
      WORKING ON THIS PROJECT IN CONJUNCTION WITH
21
     DR. DONNELLY; CORRECT?
22
         A YES.
23
         O AND MR. HAMMONDS PUBLISHED A DISSERTATION ON
24
      THIS PROJECT IN AUGUST OF 2013; RIGHT?
25
         А
             YES.
26
              YOU ALSO REVIEWED THE DEPOSITION OF
2.7
     MR. WILSON, DIDN'T YOU?
28
         A YES.
```

1 MR. WILSON WAS THE CHIEF TECHNICAL OFFICER 2 AT POSITRON; CORRECT? 3 I DON'T KNOW IF YOU'D CALL HIM THE CHIEF 4 TECHNICAL OFFICER. BUT IF THAT'S HIS TITLE, I DON'T 5 KNOW THAT. 6 O AND BASED ON YOUR REVIEW OF BOTH OF THEIR 7 DEPOSITIONS, YOU REACHED THE CONCLUSION THAT THE 8 PORTIONS OF THE PHASE II PROGRESS REPORTS THAT 9 RELATED TO PHASE CONTRAST AND TOMOSYNTHESIS WERE 10 AUTHORED BY JEFFREY HAMMONDS BASED UPON WORK BY JEFFREY HAMMONDS AND THAT JEFFREY HAMMONDS PROVIDED 11 12 TO POSITRON; CORRECT? 1.3 A THAT'S CORRECT. 14 NOW, WHEN MR. HAMMONDS PROVIDED THAT 15 TECHNICAL INFORMATION TO POSITRON, YOU THEN REACHED 16 THE CONCLUSION THAT POSITRON FIXED THE FORMATTING BUT OTHERWISE SUBMITTED THE TEXT VERBATIM OF JEFFREY 17 18 HAMMONDS' WORK TO THE GOVERNMENT IN THE PHASE II 19 REPORT; CORRECT? 20 A I DON'T KNOW IF I COULD SAY VERBATIM OR NOT, 21 BUT, YES, THEY DID. 22 MS. BONN: YOUR HONOR, I'D LIKE TO SHOW TO 23 THE JURY PAGE 45, LINES 12 THROUGH 20, FROM 24 MR. BROWN'S DEPOSITION. 25 THE COURT: OKAY. 2.6 IS THERE GOING TO BE AN OBJECTION TO THAT? 2.7 MS. JOHNSON: I'M FINDING THAT, YOUR HONOR. 28 THE COURT: JUST LET ME KNOW.

1	MS. JOHNSON: NO OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR.
2	THE COURT: OKAY. YOU MAY PUBLISH THAT.
3	Q BY MS. BONN: ONCE AGAIN, SIR, I'M REFERRING
4	TO A PORTION OF THE TRANSCRIPT FROM YOUR DEPOSITION.
5	WE'RE ON PAGE 45 AT LINES 12 THROUGH 20.
6	AND I'M GOING TO ASK THAT YOU PLEASE READ
7	ALONG SILENTLY WITH ME AS I READ ALOUD TO THE JURY.
8	(AS READ):
9	"THE QUESTION IS:
10	MR. HAMMONDS AND MR. WILSON BOTH
11	TESTIFIED THAT THE PHASE II"
12	EXCUSE ME.
13	READING FROM THE TRANSCRIPT, IT SAYS (AS
14	READ):
15	"YES. THE QUESTION IS:
16	MR. HAMMONDS AND MR. WILSON BOTH
17	TESTIFIED THAT THE PHASE II
18	REPORTS, INSOFAR AS SECTIONS THAT
19	RELATED TO PHASE CONTRAST AND
20	TOMOSYNTHESIS, WERE AUTHORED BY
21	JEFFREY HAMMONDS BASED UPON WORK
22	THAT JEFFREY HAMMONDS PERFORMED AND
23	THAT JEFFREY HAMMONDS PROVIDED TO
24	POSITRON. POSITRON THEN LOOKED AT
25	FORMATTING AND SUBMITTED THE TEXT
26	VERBATIM WITH JEFFREY HAMMONDS'
27	WORK?"
28	ANSWER: "YES, THEY DID."

1 I READ THAT CORRECTLY, SIR, DIDN'T I? 2 YES. A O NOW, SIR, YOU TESTIFIED ON DIRECT 3 4 EXAMINATION THAT THE TECHNOLOGY UNDERLYING THE 5 X.C.I.S. SYSTEM WAS FEASIBLE FOR DETECTING CORROSION IN AIRCRAFT; IS THAT RIGHT? 6 7 A YES. 8 O AND I KNOW THAT WE LOOKED THROUGH YOUR 9 RESUME WHEN IT WAS UP ON YOUR SCREEN EARLIER. I 10 DIDN'T SEE ANYTHING SPECIFICALLY IN YOUR BACKGROUND SUGGESTING THAT YOU HAVE PERFORMED WORK IN THE 11 AEROSPACE INDUSTRY. 12 13 HAVE YOU DONE SO? 14 Α NO. 15 AND, SO, YOU DON'T HAVE ANY EXPERIENCE 16 DIRECTLY WITH THE B-52, DO YOU? 17 A NO. 18 O AND YOU DON'T HAVE ANY EXPERIENCE 19 SPECIFICALLY WITH RESPECT TO DETECTING CORROSION ON 20 AIRCRAFT; CORRECT? 21 A THAT'S CORRECT. 22 NOW, YOU ALSO TESTIFIED ON DIRECT 23 EXAMINATION THAT AS OF THE FALL OF 2013, THE 24 TECHNOLOGY UNDERLYING X.C.I.S. WAS NOT BEING USED IN 25 THE NONDESTRUCTIVE EVALUATION MARKET. 2.6 DO YOU RECALL THAT? 2.7 A YES. 28 Q AND, IN FACT, SIR, EVEN TO THIS DAY, THE

TECHNOLOGY UNDERLYING X.C.I.S. HAS STILL NOT BEEN 1 2 COMMERCIALIZED BY ANYONE; RIGHT? 3 NOT THAT I'M AWARE OF. 4 BOEING ISN'T MAKING AND SELLING X.C.I.S. 5 UNITS, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE? 6 Α NO. 7 O LOCKHEED MARTIN ISN'T MAKING AND SELLING 8 X.C.I.S. UNITS, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE? 9 Α NOT TO MY KNOWLEDGE. 10 AND THAT'S DESPITE THE FACT, AS YOU 11 TESTIFIED EARLIER, BOTH PHASE CONTRAST TECHNOLOGY AND TOMOSYNTHESIS HAVE BEEN AROUND FOR DECADES; RIGHT? 12 1.3 INDIVIDUALLY, YES. 14 AND DESPITE THE FACT THAT MR. HAMMONDS, THAT 15 RESEARCHER AT VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, PUBLISHED A 16 DISSERTATION ON THE APPLICATION OF THOSE TECHNOLOGIES 17 TO ALUMINUM AND AIRCRAFT; RIGHT? 18 A YES. 19 NOW, EARLIER WE LOOKED AT A PICTURE THAT WAS 20 SHOWN TO THE JURY AS A C-ARM CONFIGURATION FOR A 21 POTENTIAL X.C.I.S. PROTOTYPE WHICH HAS BEEN ADMITTED 22 AS TRIAL EXHIBIT 303, AND I'M GOING TO ASK THAT IT BE 23 PUT BACK ON THE SCREEN. 24 NOW, WHEN YOU MENTIONED EARLIER THAT YOU HAD 25 SEEN SOME SKETCHES OF A POTENTIAL X.C.I.S. PROTOTYPE, 26 IS THIS WHAT YOU WERE REFERRING TO (INDICATING)? 2.7 A YES. 28 O AND YOU'RE ALSO AWARE THAT THIS VERY SAME

1	PICTURE WAS ACTUALLY PRESENTED PUBLICLY AT AN
2	INDUSTRY CONFERENCE IN NOVEMBER OF 2012 BY
3	DR. HOFFMANN AT WYLE LABS; CORRECT?
4	A THAT WAS PUBLISHED IN A POSTER HE GENERATED,
5	I THINK. THAT'S CORRECT.
6	Q AND I'M GOING TO SHOW THE JURY WHAT'S BEEN
7	MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION AS TRIAL EXHIBIT 359.
8	MS. BONN: I'VE CONFERRED WITH COUNSEL, AND
9	THERE'S NO OBJECTION.
10	THE COURT: OKAY.
11	MS. BONN: MAY IT BE ADMITTED?
12	THE COURT: I'M SORRY. GIVE ME A MINUTE.
13	WHAT NUMBER ARE YOU TALKING ABOUT?
14	MS. BONN: TRIAL EXHIBIT 359.
15	THE COURT: EXHIBIT 359 IS ADMITTED.
16	
17	(JOINT EXHIBIT NO. 359 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
18	
19	MS. BONN: AND, MR. SPALDING, IF YOU COULD
20	PLEASE LOOK AT THE POSTER. IF YOU COULD PULL THAT UP
21	FOR ME, PLEASE. THANK YOU.
22	Q BY MS. BONN: SIR, THIS IS THE POSTER YOU
23	JUST MENTIONED THAT DR. HOFFMANN OF WYLE LABORATORIES
24	PUBLISHED AT AN INDUSTRY CONFERENCE IN NOVEMBER OF
25	2012; CORRECT?
26	A THAT'S CORRECT.
27	Q AND IT'S ENTITLED, "X-RAY CORROSION
28	INSPECTION SYSTEM (X.C.I.S.)"; RIGHT?

1	A YES.
2	Q IT HAS LOGOS AT THE TOP FOR WYLE AND
3	POSITRON AND VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY; RIGHT?
4	A YES.
5	MS. BONN: AND THEN I WANT TO ASK
6	MR. SPALDING TO ZOOM IN ON THIS BOTTOMMOST RIGHT-HAND
7	CORNER WHERE IT SAYS, "TRANSITIONING CONCEPT."
8	Q BY MS. BONN: DO YOU SEE THAT?
9	A YES.
10	Q AND, SIR, WE CAN SEE THAT THE VERY SAME
11	C-ARM PROTOTYPE SKETCH IS DEPICTED IN THIS POSTER;
12	CORRECT?
13	A YES.
14	MS. BONN: AND CAN WE PULL THAT UP, SIDE BY
15	SIDE, WITH THE ONE IN EXHIBIT 303?
16	Q BY MS. BONN: AND YOU CAN CONFIRM, LOOKING
17	AT THE TWO SKETCHES OF THE C-ARM SIDE BY SIDE, THAT
18	THEY APPEAR TO BE THE SAME?
19	A YES.
20	Q SO YOU CAN CONFIRM THAT BY NOVEMBER OF 2012,
21	THIS C-ARM SKETCH WAS PUBLISHED WITHIN THE INDUSTRY;
22	CORRECT?
23	A YES.
24	Q AND WHEN DR. HOFFMANN AT WYLE PUBLISHED THIS
25	SKETCH AT AN INDUSTRY CONFERENCE, HE DIDN'T DO IT
26	BEHIND POSITRON'S BACK, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE; RIGHT?
27	A THAT'S CORRECT.
28	Q HE ASKED FOR POSITRON'S PERMISSION TO DO IT;

1	RIGHT?
2	A YES.
3	Q AND POSITRON GRANTED THAT PERMISSION; RIGHT?
4	A YES.
5	MS. BONN: YOUR HONOR, I'D LIKE TO INTRODUCE
6	TRIAL EXHIBIT 358. I CONFERRED WITH COUNSEL, AND
7	THERE'S NO OBJECTION.
8	THE COURT: OKAY. 358 IS ADMITTED.
9	
10	(JOINT EXHIBIT NO. 358 WAS RECEIVED IN EVIDENCE.)
11	
12	MS. BONN: IF WE COULD ZOOM TO THE TOPMOST
13	E-MAIL HEADER.
14	Q BY MS. BONN: SIR, THIS IS AN E-MAIL FROM
15	MR. WILSON TO DR. HOFFMANN, AMONG OTHERS, IN NOVEMBER
16	OF 2012, SAY, "LOOKS GREAT. THANKS FOR PUTTING THIS
17	TOGETHER"; RIGHT?
18	A YES.
19	Q NOW, ON DIRECT EXAMINATION, YOU LOOKED AT A
20	DOCUMENT THAT'S BEEN ADMITTED IN EVIDENCE AS
21	EXHIBIT 737. A REPORT TO WYLE'S I.R.A.D. BOARD.
22	DO YOU RECALL TESTIFYING ABOUT THIS
23	DOCUMENT?
24	A YES.
25	MS. BONN: AND I'M GOING TO ASK MR. SPALDING
26	TO PUT UP ON THE SCREEN PAGE 14 OF THIS DOCUMENT.
27	IF WE COULD ZOOM IN ON SECTION 3.2.
28	Q BY MS. BONN: YOU CAN SEE THAT THIS SECTION

1	SAYS "X.	C.I.S. MANIPULATOR SYSTEM DESIGN"; CORRECT?
2	А	YES.
3	Q	AND IN THE FIRST SENTENCE UNDER THAT
4	SECTION,	IT SAYS, "INITIALLY WYLE PLANNED TO USE A
5	SO-CALLE	ED C-ARM SYSTEM AS A MANIPULATOR FOR THIS
6	PROJECT.	TI Control of the con
7		DO YOU SEE THAT?
8	А	YES.
9	Q	BUT, THEN, IF YOU LOOK A LITTLE BIT FURTHER
10	DOWN THE	E PARAGRAPH, IT SAYS (AS READ):
11		"HOWEVER, ALL CONTACTED C-ARM
12		PROVIDERS WERE HESITANT TO PROVIDE
13		A C-ARM WITHOUT THE ALREADY
14		BUILT-IN TUBE AND DETECTOR UNITS,
15		WHICH, IN ALL CASES, WERE NOT
16		SUFFICIENT FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS
17		PROJECT."
18		DO YOU SEE THAT?
19	А	YES.
20	Q	AND THEN THE DOCUMENT STATES (AS READ):
21		"CUSTOMIZING THE PRODUCT WOULD
22		HAVE BEEN DONE RELUCTANTLY AND ONLY
23		FOR A SUBSTANTIAL PRICE."
24		DO YOU SEE THAT?
25	А	YES.
26	Q	FINALLY, IT SAYS (AS READ):
27		"IN ADDITION, THE C-ARM DESIGN
28		FOR THE MEDICAL FIELD POTENTIALLY

1	LACKS THE RUGGEDNESS REQUIRED FOR
2	THE INTENDED DEPOT ENVIRONMENT."
3	DO YOU SEE THAT?
4	A YES.
5	Q SO, IN FACT, WYLE WAS TELLING IT'S I.R.A.D.
6	BOARD THAT, WHILE IT HAD INITIALLY PLANNED TO USE A
7	C-ARM SYSTEM, ACTUALLY, THAT SYSTEM WAS NOT FEASIBLE;
8	CORRECT?
9	A THEY SAID THAT, YES.
10	Q AND, IN FACT, WYLE THEN BEGAN DEVELOPING A
11	DIFFERENT DESIGN; CORRECT?
12	A I THINK THEY LOOKED AT IT. I DON'T THINK I
13	EVER SAW A DESIGN THAT THEY MADE.
14	I SAW THAT THEY WERE GOING TO TRY TO MAKE IT
15	MORE ROBUST SO THEY COULD USE IT IN AN AIRCRAFT
16	APPLICATION, BUT I THINK THIS WAS JUST A CONCEPT
17	DRAWING FROM THE BEGINNING.
18	OF COURSE IT WOULD HAVE TO BE DESIGNED AND
19	DEVELOPED FROM AN ENGINEERING STANDPOINT.
20	Q NOW, LOOKING AT THE NEXT PARAGRAPH IN
21	SECTION 3.2, IF YOU LOOK PARTWAY DOWN THAT PARAGRAPH
22	AND I'LL HAVE MR. SPALDING HIGHLIGHT IT WYLE
23	LABS IS TALKING ABOUT THE NEW DESIGN THEY'RE
24	CONSIDERING.
25	AND THEY WRITE (AS READ):
26	"THE ARM IS RECTANGULAR SHAPE,
27	OBEYING THE 6-FOOT DISTANCE
28	REQUIREMENT. THE OPENING ALLOWS

1	SUFFICIENT SPACE FOR THE TARGET
2	AIRCRAFT STRUCTURE."
3	DO YOU SEE THAT?
4	A YES.
5	Q AND, SO, BY THIS POINT IN TIME, RATHER THAN
6	TALKING ABOUT USING A C-ARM DESIGN, WYLE LABS WAS
7	TALKING ABOUT USING A DESIGN WITH A
8	RECTANGULAR-SHAPED ARM; CORRECT?
9	A YES.
10	Q AND IF YOU LOOK A LITTLE BIT FURTHER DOWN
11	THAT PARAGRAPH, THERE'S A SENTENCE THAT SAYS (AS
12	READ):
13	"A LIFT WILL RAISE THE SYSTEM
14	SUCH THAT THE TUBE WILL BE ON ONE
15	SIDE OF THE TARGET STRUCTURE AND
16	THE DETECTOR ON THE OTHER SIDE."
17	DO YOU SEE THAT?
18	A YES.
19	Q AND, SO, BY THIS POINT IN TIME, WYLE WAS
20	ALSO CONSIDERING A DESIGN THAT WOULD INVOLVE A LIFT;
21	CORRECT?
22	A YES.
23	MS. BONN: NOW, IF YOU TURN TO THE NEXT
24	PAGE, MR. SPALDING, WE CAN SEE THAT THERE'S AN IMAGE
25	UP TOP THAT I UNDERSTAND WAS GOING TO BE PART OF THE
26	NEW WYLE LABS DESIGN.
27	AND IF WE COULD JUST SHOW THAT SIDE BY SIDE
28	WITH THE C-ARM.

1	Q BY MS. BONN: SIR, YOU CAN CONFIRM THAT
2	THESE TWO PHOTOS ON THE SCREEN DON'T LOOK MUCH ALIKE;
3	RIGHT?
4	A THAT'S CORRECT.
5	Q THANK YOU.
6	MS. BONN: THANK YOU, MR. SPALDING.
7	Q BY MS. BONN: NOW, ON DIRECT EXAMINATION,
8	YOU TALKED ABOUT THE HOURLY BILLING RATE AT WHICH YOU
9	WERE BEING PAID ON THIS CASE; CORRECT?
10	A THAT'S CORRECT.
11	Q AND YOU MENTIONED THAT YOU ARE PERSONALLY
12	RECEIVING 150 HOURS (SIC) FOR YOUR PREPARATION TIME,
13	AND THEN \$200 PER HOUR FOR YOUR DEPOSITION AND TRIAL
14	TESTIMONY; CORRECT?
15	A THAT'S CORRECT.
16	Q BUT YOU ACTUALLY WORK FOR A FIRM THAT HIRES
17	YOU OUT; IS THAT CORRECT?
18	A THAT'S CORRECT.
19	Q AND THE FIRM IS COLLECTING A HIGHER RATE
20	THAN THAT; RIGHT?
21	A YES.
22	Q THE FIRM IS CHARGING \$275 PER HOUR FOR YOUR
23	PREPARATION AND \$350 AN HOUR FOR YOUR TIME
24	TESTIFYING; CORRECT?
25	A THAT'S CORRECT.
26	Q NOW, AS OF YOUR DEPOSITION IN THIS CASE,
27	WHICH WAS A WHILE BACK, I UNDERSTAND YOUR FIRM HAD
28	BILLED AROUND \$80,000 FOR YOUR WORK ON THIS PROJECT;

1	IS THAT CORRECT?
2	A PROBABLY PRETTY CLOSE, YES.
3	Q HOW MUCH HAS BEEN BILLED TODAY?
4	A ALL I CAN TELL YOU RIGHT NOW IS THE HOURS.
5	I HAVEN'T KEPT UP. I HAVE ALL THE INVOICES, AND UP
6	THROUGH MY LAST INVOICE, I CHARGED 328 HOURS.
7	Q THANK YOU, SIR.
8	NOW, WE TALKED A LITTLE BIT EARLIER ABOUT
9	THE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS THAT YOU WERE ASKED TO ADDRESS
10	IN THIS CASE BY POSITRON'S COUNSEL.
11	DO YOU REMEMBER THAT?
12	A YES.
13	Q AND THOSE WERE THE ONLY QUESTIONS THAT YOU
14	ACTUALLY DID ADDRESS IN YOUR WORK ON THIS CASE;
15	CORRECT?
16	A THAT'S CORRECT.
17	Q YOU WEREN'T ASKED TO OPINE ON WHETHER
18	POSITRON ACTUALLY IS RESPONSIBLE FOR DEVELOPING THE
19	X-RAY SYSTEM, WERE YOU?
20	A NO.
21	Q YOU WEREN'T ASKED TO OPINE ON WHETHER THE
22	TECHNOLOGY UNDERLYING THE X-RAY SYSTEM WAS POSITRON'S
23	TRADE SECRET; CORRECT?
24	A THAT'S CORRECT.
25	Q YOU WEREN'T ASKED TO OPINE ON WHETHER THE
26	TECHNOLOGY UNDERLYING THE X-RAY SYSTEM CONSTITUTED
27	POSITRON'S PROPRIETARY INFORMATION; CORRECT?
28	A CAN YOU RESTATE THAT AGAIN FOR ME?

1	Q YOU WERE NOT ASKED TO OPINE ON WHETHER THE
2	TECHNOLOGY IN THE X.C.I.S. SYSTEM CONSTITUTED
3	POSITRON'S PROPRIETARY INFORMATION UNDER ITS
4	NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT; IS THAT CORRECT?
5	A THAT'S CORRECT.
6	Q AND, IN FACT, IN THIS CASE, YOU'VE NEVER
7	EVEN REVIEWED A DOCUMENT CALLED THE TRADE SECRET
8	DISCLOSURE WHERE POSITRON ACTUALLY STATES WHAT ITS
9	TRADE SECRET IS; CORRECT?
10	A THAT'S CORRECT.
11	Q SO SITTING HERE TODAY AND TESTIFYING IN
12	FRONT OF THIS JURY, YOU HAVE NO IDEA WHAT POSITRON IS
13	CLAIMING ITS TRADE SECRET TO BE; CORRECT?
14	A THAT'S CORRECT.
15	Q NOW, SIR, YOU TALKED A LITTLE BIT ABOUT YOUR
16	OPINIONS ON THE FEASIBILITY OF THIS TECHNOLOGY, BUT
17	YOU ACTUALLY NEVER PERFORMED ANY TESTS YOURSELF IN
18	PREPARING YOUR OPINIONS IN THIS CASE; CORRECT?
19	A THAT'S CORRECT. THAT WASN'T PART OF MY
20	CHARGE.
21	Q YOU DIDN'T THAT WASN'T PART OF YOUR
22	CHARGE BY POSITRON'S COUNSEL?
23	A THAT'S CORRECT.
24	Q SO YOU DIDN'T RENT ANY X-RAY EQUIPMENT?
25	A NO.
26	Q YOU DIDN'T TRY TO IDENTIFY ANY COMPONENTS OF
27	AIRCRAFT THAT YOU COULD ACTUALLY TEST THIS X-RAY
28	TECHNOLOGY ON; CORRECT?

```
1
         A NO.
              MS. BONN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. I HAVE NO
 2
 3
      FURTHER OUESTIONS.
 4
              THE COURT: REDIRECT?
 5
              MS. JOHNSON: NO.
 6
              THE COURT: OKAY. MAY THIS WITNESS BE
7
      EXCUSED TO GO HOME?
8
              MR. BHATIA: YES, YOUR HONOR.
9
              THE COURT: OKAY. YOU ARE FREE TO GO HOME.
10
      THANK YOU, SIR.
11
              THE WITNESS: THANK YOU.
12
              THE COURT: OKAY. SO YOU MAY STEP DOWN.
1.3
    THANK YOU.
14
             MS. JOHNSON: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
15
     MR. BROWN.
16
              THE COURT: AND POSITRON IS GOING TO CALL
17
     ITS NEXT WITNESS OR RECALL MR. LANEY.
             MS. CROWTHER: CORRECT. WE WILL RECALL
18
19
     MR. LANEY.
20
              THE COURT: OKAY. SO MR. LANEY IS GOING TO
21
      COME UP HERE. AND WE'RE GOING TO GIVE BACK THESE
22
     THINGS TO POSITRON.
23
              AND, MR. LANEY, YOU ARE STILL UNDER OATH.
24
      YOU MAY PROCEED.
25
     ///
26
     ///
2.7
     ///
28
                         STEVEN LANEY,
```